	Case 3:73-cv-00125-RCJ-WGC	Document 1092	Filed 05/22/12	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
11				
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		73-cv-00125-ECR	-WGC
13	Plaintiff,		Equity No. C-125	
14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE	Ε, Ο	ORDER APPROVING BUDGET AND RATE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE	
14				
15	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	Y] 30	EAR JULY 1, 201), 2013, AND THE	2 THROUGH JUNE E AUDIT REPORT
15 16	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs.	Y) 30 <u>F</u> 0	EAR JULY 1, 201), 2013, AND THE	2 THROUGH JUNE
15 16 17	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	Y) 30 <u>F</u> 0	EAR JULY 1, 201), 2013, AND THE	2 THROUGH JUNE E AUDIT REPORT
15 16 17 18	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION D	Y) 30 <u>F</u> 0	EAR JULY 1, 201), 2013, AND THE	2 THROUGH JUNE E AUDIT REPORT
15 16 17 18 19	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION Estatement a corporation, et al., Defendants.	OISTRICT,	EAR JULY 1, 201), 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E	2 THROUGH JUNE E AUDIT REPORT ENDED JUNE 30, 2011
15 16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION I a corporation, et al., Defendants. The Petition of the U	OISTRICT, Junited States Board	EAR JULY 1, 201 0, 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E	2 THROUGH JUNE E AUDIT REPORT ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 ioners and the Chief Deputy
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION I a corporation, et al., Defendants. The Petition of the U Water Commissioner for Approval of	OISTRICT, Junited States Board of Budget and Appr	EAR JULY 1, 201 0, 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E	2 THROUGH JUNE E AUDIT REPORT ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 ioners and the Chief Deputy sessment for the Year July 1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION Is a corporation, et al., Defendants. The Petition of the Use Water Commissioner for Approval of 2012 Through June 30, 2013, and for	OISTRICT, / United States Board of Budget and Appr or Approval of the A	EAR JULY 1, 201 0, 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E of Water Commiss roval of Rate of Ass Audit Report for the	ioners and the Chief Deputy sessment for the Year July 1 e Year Ended June 30, 2011
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION II a corporation, et al., Defendants. The Petition of the U Water Commissioner for Approval of 2012 Through June 30, 2013, and for ("Petition") (Doc. 1069) was filed or	Jointed States Board of Budget and Appropriate Approval of the Approval of the Approval 12, 2012. To the Approval 12, 2012.	EAR JULY 1, 201 0, 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E of Water Commiss oval of Rate of Ass Audit Report for the The Walker River Pa	ioners and the Chief Deputy sessment for the Year July 1 e Year Ended June 30, 2011 aiute Tribe ("Tribe") and the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION Is a corporation, et al., Defendants. The Petition of the Use Water Commissioner for Approval of 2012 Through June 30, 2013, and for ("Petition") (Doc. 1069) was filed of United States of America ("United States of America ("United States of America")	Jointed States Board of Budget and Appropriate Approval of the Approval of the Approval 12, 2012. Tatates") filed Joint Contractions of the Approval of the Approval of the Approval 12, 2012. Tatates	EAR JULY 1, 201 0, 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E of Water Commiss oval of Rate of Ass Audit Report for the The Walker River Pa	ioners and the Chief Deputy sessment for the Year July 1 e Year Ended June 30, 2011 aiute Tribe ("Tribe") and the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION II a corporation, et al., Defendants. The Petition of the U Water Commissioner for Approval of 2012 Through June 30, 2013, and for ("Petition") (Doc. 1069) was filed of United States of America ("United Stothe statements made in their Join	Jointed States Board of Budget and Appropriate Approval of the Annil 12, 2012. The tates of the Total Comments, the Total Comments, the Total Comments of the Annil 12, 2012.	EAR JULY 1, 201 0, 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E of Water Commiss roval of Rate of Ass Audit Report for the The Walker River Parameters (Doc. 108) ribe and the United	ioners and the Chief Deputy sessment for the Year July 1 e Year Ended June 30, 2011 aiute Tribe ("Tribe") and the 37) on May 16, 2012. Subject d States did not object to the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION II a corporation, et al., Defendants. The Petition of the U Water Commissioner for Approval of 2012 Through June 30, 2013, and for ("Petition") (Doc. 1069) was filed of United States of America ("United States o	Jointed States Board of Budget and Appropriately 2012. The tates") filed Joint Control Comments, the Total 1069. The Walk	ear July 1, 201 1, 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E of Water Commiss roval of Rate of Ass Audit Report for the The Walker River Parameter (Doc. 108) ribe and the United ter River Irrigation	ioners and the Chief Deputy sessment for the Year July 1 e Year Ended June 30, 2011 aiute Tribe ("Tribe") and the 37) on May 16, 2012. Subject I States did not object to the District ("District") filed a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION II a corporation, et al., Defendants. The Petition of the U Water Commissioner for Approval of 2012 Through June 30, 2013, and for ("Petition") (Doc. 1069) was filed of United States of America ("United Stothe statements made in their Join	Jointed States Board of Budget and Appropriately 2012. The tates") filed Joint Control Comments, the Total 1069. The Walk	ear July 1, 201 1, 2013, AND THE OR THE YEAR E of Water Commiss roval of Rate of Ass Audit Report for the The Walker River Parameter (Doc. 108) ribe and the United ter River Irrigation	ioners and the Chief Deputy sessment for the Year July 1 e Year Ended June 30, 2011 aiute Tribe ("Tribe") and the 37) on May 16, 2012. Subject I States did not object to the District ("District") filed a

District's Response did not object to the budget, rate of assessment or the audit report. There were no other comments or objections filed to the budget, rate of assessment or the audit report.

The Court having considered the Petition, the proofs of notice, and being fully advised in the premises makes the following findings:

- 1. The Court finds that notice of this hearing has been given by publication and posting of the Amended Notice of Filing of Report and Petition for Approval of Budget and Approval of Rate of Assessment for the Year July 1, 2012 Through June 30, 2013, and for Approval of the Audit Report for the Year Ended June 30, 2011 in accordance with its Order of April 20, 2012 (Doc. 1076), and that additional notice has been given by electronic service and by mailing a copy of the Petition, the Order Requiring Notice (Proposed) and Notice of Filing Report (Proposed) on April 12, 2012, as set forth in the Affidavit of Service filed on April 12, 2012 (Doc. 1072).
- 2. The Court finds that the Budget and Rate of Assessment for the year July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013 should be approved.
- 3. The Court finds the Audit Report of the accounting records of the United States Board of Water Commissioners for the year ended June 30, 2011, prepared by Arrighi, Rader & Blake LLC, Certified Public Accountants, should be approved.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that:

- The Budget and Rate of Assessment of \$2.60 per acre for the year July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013 submitted by the Chief Deputy Water Commissioner and approved by the United States Board of Water Commissioners on March 8, 2012, is hereby approved; and
- The Audit Report of the accounting records of the United States Board of Water
 Commissioners for the year ended June 30, 2011, prepared by Arrighi, Rader & Blake LLC, Certified
 Public Accountants, is hereby approved.

DATED this 22nd day of May, 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE